Focus Group Presentation

Welcome back Focus Group Members!

- Thank you for your time and input as we work to update the Greensboro Minority and Women Business Enterprise Program
- Today, we'll share what you said and let you know what we will recommend.

Today's Topics

Objectives

- Share comments and feedback from September Focus Groups
- Provide business leaders and community stakeholders with the recommendations of the MWBE Community Relations Steering Committee that have been developed based on Focus Group input

Topics

- Review the background leading to the Minority and Women Business
 Enterprise (MWBE) Program Recommendations for Historically Underutilized
 Businesses (HUBs)
- Review the feedback from the September Focus Groups
- Provide the MWBE Community Relations Steering Committee's recommendations developed based on your feedback

Disparity Study Background

- Study conducted this year and presented to the City in June 2012
- Shows a substantial disparity between utilized Minority and Woman Business Enterprises (MWBEs) and the available MWBE firms in our region.
- •A substantial disparity was documented because a utilization rate below 80% of available MWBE firms has occurred in City of Greensboro contracting programs between 2005-2010.
- The 2012 Disparity Study can be viewed online
 - www.greensboro-nc.gov/disparitystudy

Disparity Study Results

| Business Category by | | | 0/ of A ciloldo | Disparity | Disparate Impact | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|-------------|------------------------|-----------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Business Owner Classifications | \$Dollars | %of Dollars | %of Available Firms | Index | of Utilization | | | | | | |
| Non-DEE Construction at the Prime Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NO HELL CO SUCCIONAL DE MINE LEVA | | | | | | | | | | | |
| African Americans | \$198,310 | 0.21% | 1237% | 1.74 | * Underutilization | | | | | | |
| Hispanic Americans | \$0 | 0.00% | 0.00% | N/A | NA | | | | | | |
| Asian Americans | \$0 | 0.00% | 0.00% | N/A | NA | | | | | | |
| Native Americans | \$0 | 0.00% | 0.00% | N/A | N/A | | | | | | |
| NonminarityWomen | \$2,637,505 | 2.85% | 10.75% | 26.55 | * Underutilization | | | | | | |
| Total MWEEFirms | \$2,835,814 | 3.07% | 23.12% | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DEEConstruction at the Prime Level | | | | | | | | | | | |
| African Americans | \$8,688 | 0.03% | 1237% | 0.23 | * Underutilization | | | | | | |
| Hispanic Americans | \$0 | 0.00% | 0.00% | N/A | N/A | | | | | | |
| Asian Americans | \$0 | 0.00% | 0.00% | N/A | N/A | | | | | | |
| Native Americans | \$0 | 0.00% | 0.00% | N/A | N/A | | | | | | |
| NonminorityWomen | \$783,461 | 254% | 10.75% | 23.67 | * Underutilization | | | | | | |
| Total MWDBEFirms | \$792,149 | 2.57% | 23.12% | | | | | | | | |
| Desferoised Services From | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Professional Services Firms | | | | | | | | | | | |
| African Americans | \$262,283 | 0.80% | 8.58% | 9.33 | * Underutilization | | | | | | |
| Hispanic Americans | \$0 | 0.00% | 0.27% | 0.00 | * Underutilization | | | | | | |
| Asian Americans | \$0 | 0.00% | 0.00% | N/A | NA | | | | | | |
| Native Americans | \$0 | 0.00% | 0.27% | 0.00 | * Underutilization | | | | | | |
| NonminorityWomen | \$394,677 | 1.20% | 6.17% | 19.54 | * Underutilization | | | | | | |
| Total MWEEFirms | \$656,960 | 201% | 15.28% | | | | | | | | |
| Procurement Firms | | | | | | | | | | | |
| naddeliiib | | | | | | | | | | | |
| African Americans | \$3,958,129 | 3.04% | 6.72% | 45.25 | * Underutilization | | | | | | |
| Hispanic Americans | \$90,708 | 0.07% | 0.43% | 16.38 | * Underutilization | | | | | | |
| Asian Americans | \$393,394 | 0.30% | 0.09% | 355.30 | Overutilization | | | | | | |
| Native Americans | \$432,176 | 0.33% | 0.34% | 97.58 | Underutilization | | | | | | |
| NonminorityWomen | \$1,596,735 | 1.23% | 3.83% | 32.05 | * Underutilization | | | | | | |
| Total MWEEFirms | \$6,471,140 | 4.97% | 11.40% | | | | | | | | |

MWBE Community Relations Steering Committee

Appointed in August 2012 by City Manager

- Responsible for developing recommendations from the Disparity Study and Focus Groups and bringing recommendations to the City Council
- Ensures engagement of business leaders and other community stakeholders in all aspects of the process

Focus Group Feedback Recommendations

 Steering Committee has taken the feedback from the Focus Groups and created recommendations to help address key issues

Focus Groups

Five Public Focus Group Meetings Held Over Two Weeks

- Coordinated by City Departments
- 43 Registered Participants
- Over 300 constructive comments were reviewed by the MWBE Community Relations Steering Committee

Final Focus Group Session – What You Told Us

 Steering Committee will update the Focus Group participants today on the process, the current results, and the upcoming City Council Meeting

Focus Group Feedback

Questions Answered:

- •What works?
- •What's not working?
- •Resources needed?

Focus Group Feedback

Emerging Themes

- Access
- Accountability
- Advocacy
- Awareness
- Business Development Assistance
- Certification
- Communication
- Data Management
- Database Design
- Education

- Marketing
- Networking
- Outreach
- Partnership
- Performance Evaluation
- Performance Growth
- Program Design
- Program Management
- Resource Needs
- Resources
- Web Technology

Focus Group Feedback Analysis

| | | What Resources | | | |
|--|------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Focus Group Themes | Questioned Asked | Needed? | What's Not Working? | What Works? | Grand Total* |
| Access | 2 | 5 | 6 | | 13 |
| Accountability | | 11 | 4 | 2 | 17 |
| Advocacy | | 1 | | 1 | 2 |
| Awareness | | 1 | | | 1 |
| Business Development Assistance | | 17 | 3 | 4 | 24 |
| Certification | | 3 | 6 | 2 | 11 |
| Communication | | 3 | 8 | 1 | 12 |
| Data Management | 2 | 16 | 10 | | 28 |
| Database design | | | | 1 | 1 |
| Education | | 5 | | 1 | 6 |
| Marketing | | 2 | | | 2 |
| Networking | | 3 | | 1 | 4 |
| Outreach | | 2 | | 3 | 5 |
| Partnership (UNCG Program) | | | | 1 | 1 |
| Performance Evaluation | | 2 | | | 2 |
| Performance Growth | | | | 1 | 1 |
| Program Design | 3 | 37 | | 2 | 42 |
| Program Management | 2 | 4 | 26 | 8 | 40 |
| Resource Needs | | 2 | | | 2 |
| Resources | | | | 3 | 3 |
| Web Technology | | 7 | | | 7 |
| Total | 9 | 121 | 63 | 31 | 224 |

Notes & Observations on Focus Group data

- Of the three questions participants were asked, "What resources are needed?" received the highest response
- Business Development Assistance resources are high on the list of needs
- "What's Not Working" received the next highest response
- The top 3 "What's Not Working?" areas included Data Management, Communication and Program Management
- Program Design & Program Management were highest focus areas and included themes like Outreach and Certification.
- Most comments were on an administrative level, not on the policy level.
- 224 unique comments (of over 300 total comments) are represented. 9 of those comments were questions vs. answers

You told us: What Would Work

We need to strive to achieve the following: Program Management

- Better Commitment of Staff
- Greater Outreach
- Increased Teamwork

Business Development Assistance

- Focus on economic development
- Greater commitment to work with individuals

Outreach

- Networking Opportunities
- More Focus Groups Meetings to get continuous feedback
- Improve relationships with Staff

What Has Not Worked?

Program Management

- Contractors have issues accessing information through State HUB database
- Contractors need to be ranked on utilization performance to promote fair process
- Strong accountability system needed

Data Management

- City system lacks centralized and updated software system
- HUB database does not easily identify qualified certified contractors

Communication

- Inconsistent and insufficient communication from City about MWBE Program changes
- Lack of timely communication about bid opportunities

Accountability

Ensure MWBE utilization rates are maintained on contracts

What Resources Are Needed?

Program Design

- •SBE (Small Business Enterprise)
 Program
- Construction Manager at Risk Program
- Joint Ventures
- Improve Good Faith Process
- Budget

Business Development Assistance

- Bonding and Insurance Assistance
- Financial Resources
- Relationship development
- Increased access to plans at public libraries
- Provide networking and training opportunities with staff and contractors

Data Management

- Rank prime contractors by utilization
- Report on utilization
- Post available contracts on web

Accountability

- Increase monitoring of timely payment
- Rating contractors on performance

Outreach

Require outreach session with prime contractors

Resource Needs

- Dedicated funding for SBE/MWBE Program
- Dedicated staff point of contact

MWBE Community Relations Steering Committee Recommendations

- The MWBE Community Relations Steering Committee has carefully studied all input and to date has come up with the following draft recommendations
- Final recommendations of the Committee will be submitted to the City Council on December 4, 2012 for their consideration

Policy Objectives

- Update Current MWBE Policy and Ordinance to reflect recommendations
- Develop a strong plan to help MWBEs and SBEs grow
- Establish comprehensive internal and external systems including communication and data management
- Evaluate the plan and the data regularly to seek continuous improvement and feedback

Policy Development

Commercial Anti-Discrimination Policy

- Mechanism for complaints to be filed against firms that discriminate
- Imposes sanctions on firms that discriminate

(ex: May bar violators from contracting)

Good Faith Effort Policy Component

- Look at best practices from other federal or state programs
- Needs to be clearly defined and communicated
- Needs strong enforcement of requirements

Program Development

Committee Recommendations based on your feedback:

- Establish hybrid SBE/MWBE Program
 - Legally defensible and will reduce the disparity
 - Allows contracts to be set aside for small businesses under economic development
 - Many MWBE firms can access set aside work through a SBE program

Construction Sub-contracting Program

- Require contract language that promotes MWBE utilization
- Increase monitoring and enforcement on payment issues

Construction Manager at Risk

- Use to dramatically increase MWBE/HUB utilization rates
- Provides dedicated MWBE/HUB Outreach resources

Joint Venture Program

- Requires partnerships between diverse partners giving smaller firms experience on and access to larger projects
- Use components of Federal, State, or other successful model for Greensboro program development to dramatically increase MWBE utilization rates

Program Management

Outreach

- Provide face to face networking and training opportunities
- Provide bidding opportunities for construction projects, professional, and other services on the web
- Expand access to construction plans

Data Management

- Centralized MWBE/HUB vendor registration database
- Track and report MWBE/HUB utilization data and include utilization rates in contractor's performance ratings

Performance Measurement and Evaluation

- Evaluate prime contractors by their utilization rates
- Accountability through Monitoring and Compliance
 - Monitor closely prime and subcontractor utilization
 - Monitor contract compliance and payment to sub-contractors

Resources and Communications

Access

 Continue use of A&T Plan room and expand access by installing kiosks at several public libraries to view construction plans. Have library staff on hand to assist.

Accountability

Volunteer committee to monitor transparency and help increase utilization rates

Business Development Assistance

- Provide workshops and networking opportunities
- Consider dual party payment option for contractors and sub-contractors
- Financial support (loans) for bonding, insurance

Certification

- Require prime contractor certification and specify required goals
- Implement data management system to track prequalification of contractors

Resources and Communications

Community Engagement/Outreach

- •Designated MWBE City staff person to be responsible for engaging the MWBE community of contractors across contracting programs including construction, professional and other services, and purchased commodities
- •Develop communication plan for alerting contractors in a timely manner about contracts, opportunities, deadlines, locations, program policies, procedures and staff contacts

Data Management

 Develop an automated data system that includes a notification when subcontractors are paid

Education

- Educate all stakeholders on SBE/MWBE contracting requirements
- Clearly strengthen and define "good faith effort" requirements

Program Funding

Establish a fully supported SBE/MWBE program with appropriate funding

Next Steps

- MWBE Community Relations Steering Committee to present final recommendations to City Council on December 4th
- The Committee will recommend that Council consider updating the current policy and ordinance in consideration of these recommendations
- Council can consider taking action to move the process forward to develop an updated program
- Updating the program in accordance with our recommendations may require legislative action from the General Assembly

Next Steps 11/14/2012

Conclusion

- We recognize and extend appreciation to everyone who participated in this process:
 - Focus Group Participants
 - Community Relations Steering Committee Members
 - · City leaders and staff
- We encourage Focus Group participants to attend the City Council meeting
 - On December 4th at 5:30 pm in the City Council Chamber of the Melvin Municipal Office Building. Please let Council members know of your support of our recommendations.
- We appreciate your continuous and collaborative efforts to move toward the goal of attaining a successful program
- Thank you!

Question and Anwers? Comments?